

Lisa Gotthard (University of Edinburgh)

THE SCOTS NORTHERN SUBJECT RULE IN CONTACT

The Northern Subject Rule (NSR) is a subject-verb agreement pattern, historically distinctive of Scots, Irish English, and Northern English. In the Older Scots version of the NSR, the present tense verb is inflected with -(i)s unless it is immediately adjacent to a 1sg or any plural pronoun subject, such as: "the girls sing-is" while "they sing", and "I sing and dance-s". The emergence and trajectory of the NSR is shaped by language contact; the plural -s inflection has roots in Northumbrian, while the 1sg inflection can be traced to Scandinavian -r inflections (Rodriguez Ledesma 2013: 152), and the NSR pattern has spread via Ulster to North America (e.g. Montgomery 1994; Schneider & Montgomery 2001; Pietsch 2005).

We investigate the NSR in 16th-18th century Scots, using syntactically annotated (parsed) correspondence data from the *Helsinki Corpus of Scottish Correspondence* (CSC; Meurman-Solin and VARIENG), from 1540-1750; the period of *anglicisation* of Scots. Previous research finds the *adjacency* condition less strong than *subject type* in modern Scots (e.g. Buchstaller et al. 2013), and in Northern Early Middle English (Fernandes Cuesta 2013), suggesting that the *adjacency* condition is weaker overall (e.g. de Haas 2011), while Rodriguez Ledesma (2013) finds *adjacency* to be as strong a condition as *subject* type in 14th-15th century Scots data, supporting earlier findings by Montgomery (1994) on 17th century Scots. With correspondence data from the new parsed CSC, we can uncover syntactic outcomes of the contact between Scots and English which shaped what we recognise as Scots today, and hopefully access a more personal language of people in Scotland during this time.

Preliminary results show high frequencies of inflection in clauses with full NP and 3sg pronoun subjects, indicating an operational subject type condition, but the frequencies of inflection are lower than expected from a categorical NSR grammar (peaking at 75-85%). There is no apparent difference in frequencies of inflection between subject-adjacent and non-adjacent verbs, suggesting a weak adjacency condition. We will explore whether this NSR pattern in the CSC can be traced to outcomes of language contact in the anglicisation period.

References

- Buchstaller, Isabelle, Karen P. Corrigan, Anders Holmberg, Patrick Honeybone and Warren Maguire. 2013. "T-to-R and the Northern Subject Rule: Questionnaire-Based Spatial, Social and Structural Linguistics". *English Language and Linguistics* 17: 85–128.
- Fernández Cuesta, Julia. 2011. "The Northern Subject Rule in First-Person Singular Contexts in Early Modern English". Folia Linguistica Historica 32: 89–114.
- de Haas, Nynke K. 2011. Morphosyntactic Variation in Northern English: The Northern Subject Rule, its Origins and Early History. Utrecht: LOT.
- Meurman-Solin, Annelie and VARIENG. 2017. *Helsinki Corpus of Scottish Correspondence (1540-1750)*. Helsinki: University of Helsinki.

- Montgomery, Michael. 1994. "The Evolution of Verb Concord in Scots". In: A. Fenton & D. McDonald (eds.). *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Languages of Scotland*. Edinburgh: Canongate Academic and The Linguistic Survey of Scotland, School of Scotlish Studies, University of Edinburgh. 81–95.
- Montgomery, Michael. 1997. "Making Transatlantic Connections Between Varieties of English: The Case of Plural Verb –s". *Journal of English Linguistics* 25: 122–141.
- Pietsch, Lukas. 2005. "'Some do and some doesn't': Verbal Concord Variation in the North of the British Isles". In: Bernd Kortmann, Tanja Herrmann, Lukas Pietsch and Susanne Wagner (eds.). A Comparative Grammar of British English Dialects. Agreement, Gender, Relative Clauses. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 125–210.
- Rodríguez Ledesma, María N. 2013. "The Northern Subject Rule in First-Person Singular Contexts in Fourteenth-Fifteenth-Century Scots". *Folia Linguistica Historica* 34: 149–172.
- Schneider, Edgar W. and Michael Montgomery. 2001. "On the Trail of Early Nonstandard Grammar: An Electronic Corpus of Southern U.S. Antebellum Overseers' Letters". *American Speech* 76: 388–410.